

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,271	11/13/2003	Kenichiro Naito	1761.1051	5658
21171 7590 03/09/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,271	NAITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin Krause	3682				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 January 2007.					
·— · _	This action is non-final.					
,—						
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex-						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ine Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copie	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Profesorous's Patent Proving Review (PTO-9	· —	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,271

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The orientation of "lubricant reservoir bottom groove" is indefinite because it is unclear what constitutes the "bottom". A bottom implies a top and a reference frame to define directions, it is unclear what applicant regards as being the bottom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mutoh et al. (US Patent 6,068,408).

Mutoh discloses a ball retaining ring comprising:

-a ring-shaped or arcuate retainer body (see for example, figure 25 or abstract) having inner and outer peripheral surfaces opposite to each other; and

-a plurality of pockets defined in the retainer body so as to open at the inner and outer peripheral surfaces of the retainer body and spaced from each other in a direction circumferentially thereof for rollingly retaining corresponding balls therein; (abstract)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,271

Art Unit: 3682

-wherein radial sides of an inner surface of each of the pockets that are opposite to each other in a radial direction of the ball retainer are defined as spherical ball bearing surfaces to which each ball contacts; (abstract, figures 5 and 6)

-wherein intermediate portions of the inner surface of each pocket with respect to the radial direction are defined as circumferential non-contact surface areas where the corresponding ball is prevented from contacting (8); and

-wherein substantially all edges of the ball bearing surfaces of the inner surface of each pocket, which contact the ball, are chamfered edges (abstract).

-wherein a radial thickness of a portion of the retainer body adjacent the pocket (13) is greater than a radial thickness of the retainer body not adjacent each pocket (12) (Fig 11).

Regarding claim 5, respective portions of one axial end of the retainer body where the corresponding pockets are defined are each provided with a pair of projections (fig 25, 12), the projections defining part of the inner surface of the pocket.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mutou (hereafter referred to as '408) in view of Mutou et al (US Patent 6,074,099, hereafter referred to as '099 to avoid confusion).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,271

Art Unit: 3682

Regarding claim 2, Mutou '408 discloses all of the claimed subject matter as described above but does not disclose intersecting oil reservoir grooves extending radially.

Mutou '099 teaches radially extending oil reservoir grooves (20) to provide a means for efficiently supplying lubricant to the clearances between the cage and the balls. (Col 4, lines 30-34).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mutou '408 and add radially extending oil reservoir grooves, for the desired purpose of providing means for efficiently supplying lubricant to the clearances between the cage and balls as taught by Mutou '099.

Regarding independent claims 6 and 7, all of the claimed subject matter has been described above in the rejections of claims 1, 2, 4 and 5. Regarding the limitation in claim 7 that the radially disposed intersection lubricant reservoir groove is a "lubricant reservoir bottom groove", as best understood, the grooves are below the equator of the pocket, and therefore are considered on the "bottom" of the pocket.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mutou '408 as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Yamamoto et al (US 2003/0012461).

Mutou does not disclose a bottom of the inner surface of each pocket is formed with a bottom oil reservoir groove of a generally concave shape.

Art Unit: 3682

Yamamoto teaches a ball retainer with a bottom oil reservoir groove (11) of a generally concave shape so that feeding of lubricant can be facilitated (Paragraph 0031).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mutou to incorporate a bottom oil reservoir groove of a generally concave shape for the desired purpose of facilitating feeding of lubricant as taught by Yamamoto.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the elastic pieces 13 of Mutoh '408 are not part of the main portion of the cage 7 and therefore does not satisfy the claimed limitation that the portion adjacent each pocket has a greater radial thickness than a portion of the retainer body not adjacent each pocket.

The examiner disagrees, finding that the language of the claim does not prohibit the examiner's interpretation, but rather, explicitly supports it. The claim requires that the radial thickness of <u>a portion</u> of the retainer body adjacent each pocket is greater than the radial thickness of <u>a portion</u> of the retainer body not adjacent each pocket.

Art Unit: 3682

The elastic pieces constitute a portion of the retainer body that is not adjacent to each pocket, the elastic piece having a radial thickness that is less than a portion of the cage that is adjacent the pocket.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,271 Page 7

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK 3/6/07

Thomas R. Hannon
Primary Examiner